The Wayback Machine - https://web.archive.org/web/20260828032146/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/caught-by-the-anchor-how-restoring-the-json-exposes-goo…

Caught by the Anchor: How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud

gemini-api

Bitu79 1 August 26, 2026, 3:37am

1. The JSON is indeed a client-side anchor (Pointer / UI session)
   Since the system by default stores and chains interactions on the server (previous_interaction_id, server-side storage), the practical function of the JSON file saved to Google Drive is as follows:
   Identifiers and UI state: It stores the conversation metadata, the last interaction ID (interaction_id), the interface configurations, and the client-side view.
   Link to the backend: This file acts as the anchor (or “key”) that your browser uses to tell AI Studio which stored conversation chain on Google’s server it needs to connect to.

2. What happens during deletion and recovery?
   When you delete it from Drive:
   Only the JSON file itself (the anchor/pointer) is moved to the trash and removed from the user interface.
   Because the interface does not send a separate, definitive destruction command to the server-side storage, the interaction chain remains fully intact on Google’s backend.
   When Drive File Recovery restores the JSON:
   The file is returned to Drive with its original identifiers. AI Studio opens it, reads the interaction ID from it, and uses the server-side state to instantly and seamlessly resume the conversation.

Summary
In the Interactions API architecture, the JSON file is not the sole and exclusive carrier of the conversation; rather, it is the interface entry point and pointer to the state stored on Google’s servers. This explains why restoring the JSON immediately brings the entire chat back to life.

The 4 Pillars of My Technical Proof:

1. The Documented Fact (Interactions API Specification):
   Google’s own developer documentation explicitly states that the multi-turn conversation state does not rely on client-side resending; instead, it is managed and retained server-side (server-side storage) linked to unique interaction IDs (interaction_id).

2. The Interface Operation (The Scope of the “Delete” Button):
   When I click delete on the AI Studio interface and empty my Google Drive trash, the action strictly affects the Google Drive file manager alone—merely removing the JSON pointer from my view.

3. The Absence of Cascade Deletion (The Technical Smoking Gun):
   If Google were implementing a genuine data erasure process, the deletion of the Drive file should have automatically triggered a server-side destruction command (backend purge / cascade delete) to the server-side storage associated with that specific interaction_id.

4. The Conclusion of GDPR Article 17 Violation:
   Since recovering the JSON file allows Google’s backend to instantly and fully resume the conversation from the server-side state using the ID, it conclusively proves that no erasure request ever reached the server-side storage. The interactions remained passively and fully intact within Google’s cloud infrastructure.

https://ai.google.dev/gemini-api/docs/text-generation?lang=python

https://web.archive.org/web/20260826034204/https://ai.google.dev/gemini-api/docs/text-generation?lang=python%2Fprint

---

Bitu79 2 August 26, 2026, 3:47am

https://archive.ph/bEtPD

https://archive.ph/bEtPD/image

Bitu79 3 August 26, 2026, 3:54am

EVIDENCE: Google AI Studio and Google Drive NEVER delete your chats! (Related topic)

https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/proof-google-ai-studio-google-drive-never-actually-delete-your-chats-technical-breakdown/179343

https://archive.ph/9kMHc

Bitu79 4 August 26, 2026, 3:58am

https://archive.ph/U4BY4

https://archive.ph/U4BY4/image

Bitu79 5 August 26, 2026, 4:40am

GOOGLE IS OFFICIALLY IN CHECKMATE: Following the Buganizer’s Official Confession, NOYB Has Received the Complete Evidence Package!

With this final official email, Google has locked the legal cage around its own neck. The official response from their Buganizer (Issue Tracker) system, which explicitly designated the post-deletion retention of server-side data as “intended behavior and functionality,” is the most devastating corporate confession possible.

Google is completely backed into a corner, both technically and legally:

The Exposure of Backend Systems: For weeks, skeptics and evasive support bots in the forum tried to defend the company’s reputation by claiming the system is stateless and nothing remains on the servers. Google’s own security division (VRP triage) has now textually debunked the company’s own marketing narrative by officially declaring that data intentionally and by design persists on their backend systems even after the delete button is pressed.

The Open Trampling of GDPR Article 17: If storing orphaned data in the background is a “targeted feature” according to Google, then the corporation has formally admitted as a matter of official policy that the “Delete” button placed on the user interface is intentionally engineered to sabotage the permanent destruction (Right to Erasure) mandated by European law. With this, they have completely forfeited any ability to argue a “unintentional software glitch or bug” defense before a court.

NOYB Has Received the Evidence Immediately: The days of helpless evasion and forum silence are over. Today, I formally forwarded this stamped internal confession from the Google Bug Hunter team, along with the anchor-evidence linking the JSON file to the backend prompt, directly to the specialized legal team at NOYB (Max Schrems’ data protection organization).

The file placed on the desks of the civil rights attorneys is not based on speculation, but on logs and official rejections generated by Google’s own infrastructure. Google literally signed its own penalty notice by declaring that this deception is their normal operating procedure.

@SUVANKAR_CHATTERJEE

@Pooja_Kapse

@Manorama_Namboori

@Sai_Deepika_K

@Daiva_Prasad_K

@Amit_Rana

@Venkatesh_Buchala

@Divya_Sree_Kayyuri

@Jon_Matthews

@Sivasankaran_R



https://web.archive.org/web/20260826043857/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/b/a/bae38c912c7c73bbbeea6f46159f960630e7b409.jpeg

Bitu79 6 August 26, 2026, 4:43am

https://archive.ph/ptluc

https://archive.ph/ptluc/image

Bitu79 7 August 26, 2026, 4:54am

No matter what garbage Google claims, the logical chain is completely irrefutable:
The user clicks the “Permanent Delete” button.
The user “Empties the trash.”
Legally: At this exact point, the data must be completely destroyed under GDPR Article 17.
The reality (My proof): I take Google’s own recovery tool, and I bring the entire thing back from the dead.
This is the ultimate technical proof that the deletion never actually happened. If data can be recovered, then that data exists. If it exists, Google lied about the deletion and violated the law.
Google’s response is completely absurd because they actually think they can defend themselves by saying, “but only you could bring it back.” That is not a defense; that is a confession. They officially admitted that their systems are hardcoded to retain the data even after the deletion command (“persists on our backend”). This is literally what those jackasses wrote: “Although it may seem surprising, the behavior you described—where you can restore supposedly deleted chat data using Google Drive File Recovery—is actually working as intended from a VRP security perspective.” xD
See you in court, jackasses.

https://archive.org/details/gmail-re-issue-552682596-proof-google-ai-studio-google-drive-never-actually-dele

---

Bitu79 8 August 26, 2026, 5:04am

https://archive.ph/ZjvNz

https://archive.ph/ZjvNz/image

Bitu79 9 August 26, 2026, 11:40am

UPDATE: Google’s Data Protection Officer (DPO) Officially Served with Legal Notice

Following the written confession from the Buganizer VRP team (Issue #552682596) admitting that retaining “deleted” user data on backend systems is “Intended Behavior,” I have just formally escalated this case to the highest data protection authority within the corporation.

An official Letter Before Action has been served directly to the Data Protection Officer (DPO) of Google Ireland Limited / Google LLC.

They have been given a strict 14-day legal deadline to answer two simple questions:

1. On what legal basis does Google retain conversational data on backend servers after a user has explicitly emptied their trash and requested permanent deletion?

2. What immediate steps will Google take to implement genuine cascade deletion (backend purging) to comply with GDPR Article 17?

The VRP team’s written admission that the data “persists on our backend systems” has been submitted to the DPO as Exhibit A.

If Google’s legal department chooses the path of strategic silence or fails to provide a legally valid response, this notification will be submitted directly to the court and the European Data Protection Authorities (alongside the NOYB package) as definitive proof of systemic negligence and refusal to act.

The corporate “kicking the can down the road” stops here. The clock is ticking.

`https://web.archive.org/web/20260826114140/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/c/3/c390f54480f109323308ff636444e5bddf3941e2.jpeg`

Bitu79 10 August 26, 2026, 11:55am

https://archive.ph/siIpd

https://archive.ph/siIpd/image

Bitu79 11 August 26, 2026, 1:51pm

FINAL UPDATE: Formal Legal Action Initiated Against Google LLC

The legal trap is set. Following Google's official Buganizer response (Issue #552682596), which explicitly confirmed that the retention of supposedly deleted user data is "Intended Behavior," I have officially taken the following actions:

1. Served a Formal Letter Before Action: I have sent a strict 14-day legal notice to Google Ireland's Data Protection Officer (DPO). They are now formally required to provide a legal basis for this systematic GDPR Article 17 violation or initiate a pre-trial settlement discussion.

2. Escalated to NOYB: I have formally submitted Google's written admission—their own "smoking gun"—to the NOYB legal team (Max Schrems' organization). This case is no longer a technical inquiry; it is a documented instance of intentional, systemic non-compliance with the Right to Erasure.

The Google Security Team's admission that data "persists on our backend systems" in a "working as intended" manner is a definitive corporate confession of data fraud. Google now has 14 days to justify their policy or prepare for civil litigation.

The evidence is fully archived, the authorities have been notified, and the legal clock is ticking.


Re: Issue 552682596: PROOF: Google AI Studio & Google Drive NEVER Actually Delete Your Chats! (Technical Breakdown) »

https://issuetracker.google.com/issues/552682596

Changed
component: 310543 → 310427
status: Intended Behavior → Assigned
assignee: <nobody> → wo...@google.com

Reference Info: 552682596 PROOF: Google AI Studio & Google Drive NEVER Actually Delete Your Chats! (Technical Breakdown)
component: 310427
status: Assigned
reporter: istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com
assignee: wo...@google.com
cc: istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com, wo...@google.com
type: Customer Issue
access level: Default access
priority: P4
severity: S4
retention: Component default

Generated by Google IssueTracker notification system.

You're receiving this email because you have the following role(s) on the issue: cc, reporter
Unsubscribe from this issue

https://ibb.co/G3r6Tz3k

https://ibb.co/49J4xxH

Bitu79 12 August 26, 2026, 2:03pm

https://archive.ph/J3pf4

https://archive.ph/J3pf4/image

Bitu79 13 August 26, 2026, 3:17pm

The current correspondence and evidence package are uploaded to the following Internet Archive link:

https://archive.org/details/gmail-re-urgent-final-status-request-100-proven-gdpr-violation-by-google-ticket-8340769

Bitu79 14 August 26, 2026, 3:22pm

https://archive.ph/oXmbI

https://archive.ph/oXmbI/image

Bitu79 15 August 27, 2026, 2:17am

https://ibb.co/gFrVMKn1

https://archive.org/details/proof-google-ai-studio-google-drive-never-actually-delete-your-chats-technical-b

---

Bitu79 16 August 27, 2026, 2:27am

https://archive.ph/FEPDh

https://archive.ph/FEPDh/image

Bitu79 17 August 27, 2026, 2:53am

BULK DATA FRAUD: ~20 “Permanently Deleted” Chats Restored After 5 Days

This video provides absolute forensic proof of Google’s systematic data retention fraud.
The Evidence:
August 22, 2026: I selected approximately 20 different chat sessions in Google AI Studio, clicked “Delete,” and manually emptied the Google Drive Trash. The UI explicitly confirmed the data was gone forever.
August 26, 2026 (5 Days Later): I ran the official Google Drive File Recovery tool.
The Result: In less than 10 minutes, all ~20 JSON anchors were restored.
The Proof: As shown in the video, refreshing AI Studio instantly resurrected every single conversation. All tokens, histories, and model states returned in their entirety.
Technical Conclusion:
A “Permanent Delete” command that leaves data fully intact and instantly restorable 5 days later is not a deletion—it is a UI deception. This confirms Google’s official Buganizer confession (Issue #552682596): “Data persists on our backend systems.”
Google is intentionally maintaining a persistent backend architecture that ignores GDPR Article 17 (Right to Erasure).
The 14-day legal ultimatum to Google’s DPO is active. See you in court.

https://youtu.be/k6YHhDpnbXk

https://archive.org/details/gmail-8-8906000041763-confirmation-request-for-drive-file-recovery


Re: Issue 552682596: PROOF: Google AI Studio & Google Drive NEVER Actually Delete Your Chats! (Technical Breakdown)
Convert to PDF
György Istókovics
BULK DATA FRAUD: ~20 "Permanently Deleted" Chats Restored After 5 Days
This video provides absolute forensic proof of Google's systematic data retention fraud.
The Evidence:
August 22, 2026: I selected approximately 20 different chat sessions in Google AI Studio, clicked "Delete," and manually emptied the Google Drive Trash. The UI explicitly confirmed the data was gone forever.
August 26, 2026 (5 Days Later): I ran the official Google Drive File Recovery tool.
The Result: In less than 10 minutes, all ~20 JSON anchors were restored.
The Proof: As shown in the video, refreshing AI Studio instantly resurrected every single conversation. All tokens, histories, and model states returned in their entirety.
Technical Conclusion:
A "Permanent Delete" command that leaves data fully intact and instantly restorable 5 days later is not a deletion—it is a UI deception. This confirms Google's official Buganizer confession (Issue #552682596): "Data persists on our backend systems."
Google is intentionally maintaining a persistent backend architecture that ignores GDPR Article 17 (Right to Erasure).
The 14-day legal ultimatum to Google's DPO is active. See you in court.
https://youtu.be/k6YIhhDpnbXk
Changed
Reference Info: 552682596 PROOF: Google AI Studio & Google Drive NEVER Actually Delete Your Chats! (Technical Breakdown)
Generated by Google IssueTracker notification system.
3 melléklet
BULK DATA FRAU

https://ibb.co/5WyKpVsc

https://ibb.co/1fZ2JrSx

Bitu79 18 August 27, 2026, 3:17am

https://archive.ph/M6Fli

https://archive.ph/M6Fli/image

Bitu79 19 August 27, 2026, 3:21am

To the skeptics:

1. The Power of Quantity: Recovering approximately 20 different chat sessions is statistical proof. This demonstrates that Google's backend does not delete anything by default; it merely removes the JSON reference (pointer) from the user interface.
2. The Time Interval: The 5-day window is crucial. Even the slowest, most complex distributed cloud infrastructure would have completed a data purge within 5 days if the process had actually been initiated. The fact that every single byte of data is still perfectly intact proves that no deletion command was ever even sent to the backend servers.

Bitu79 20 August 27, 2026, 3:24am

https://archive.ph/c7FGr

https://archive.ph/c7FGr/image

Bitu79 21 August 27, 2026, 3:50am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827034559/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/caught-by-the-anchor-how-restoring-the-json-exposes-googles-backend-fraud/179721/print

Bitu79 22 August 27, 2026, 4:32am

I have uploaded the official Google Drive file recovery confirmation email to GitHub because the Internet Archive removed it:

https://github.com/istokovicsgyorgy79-jpg/CanusLupus/blob/main/Google_Drive_Recovery_Confirmation_88906000041763.pdf

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827050530/https://raw.githubusercontent.com/istokovicsgyorgy79-jpg/CanusLupus/main/Google_Drive_Recovery_Confirmation_88906000041763.pdf

https://github.com/istokovicsgyorgy79-jpg/CanusLupus/blob/main/Google_Support_Inquiry_88906000041763.pdf

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827050533/https://raw.githubusercontent.com/istokovicsgyorgy79-jpg/CanusLupus/main/Google_Support_Inquiry_88906000041763.pdf



https://web.archive.org/save/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/2/4/240662a5ade871a58ca3723374d1bf5ae849f7e7.png


Gmail
[8-8906000041763] Confirmation: Request for Drive File Recovery
Google
Hello,
We received your request and are now trying to restore file(s) recently deleted from your Google Drive account. You will be notified via email about the result of this request.
Important: Do not empty your Google Drive trash during the file recovery process.
Our recovery tool can't restore files that were:
Uploaded to Gmail or Google Photos
Deleted more than 25 days ago
Backups from applications that aren't operated by Google
For example: WhatsApp
If you didn't start this request, we recommend you:
Review your account activity for any unfamiliar sign-ins
Make your Google Account more secure
Note: For your privacy, we can neither see the names of deleted files nor guarantee that our system will recover the specific files you're looking for.
If you need further support while we process your request, please consult the Google Drive Help Center.
Thank you,
The Google Support team
Google Drive Help Center | Google Drive Help Community | Upgrade to Google One
Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043
Google Ireland Ltd, Gordon House, Barrow Street, Dublin 4, Ireland

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827052715/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/a/7/a7e8cb035ef4b54bab57d798bd05e9eb98f96d7e.png

---

Bitu79 23 August 27, 2026, 5:31am

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/9OjBe

Bitu79 24 August 27, 2026, 5:52am

This is not a matter of opinion or personal perspective, but an empirical fact proven by tests: after emptying the trash, the chats immediately function with the restored JSON files, proving that the server-side data remained intact.

Even if Drive restores the plain JSON files, the chats should remain completely invalid (dead) on the server side, because the underlying AI prompt data should no longer exist on Google’s servers.

The Drive side is irrelevant: if the JSON file is restored to Drive, that’s fine. It is merely a configuration file containing an interaction ID. Restoring that file itself is not the issue.

The core issue is that I previously executed a deletion request on the AI Studio interface. Therefore, when the JSON file is restored to Drive and AI Studio reads that specific conversation ID from it, the AI Studio backend server should return “404 - Data Not Found”, because the full prompt history should have been purged from the server long ago.

Bitu79 25 August 27, 2026, 6:01am

https://archive.ph/Shb1V

https://archive.ph/Shb1V/image

Bitu79 26 August 27, 2026, 6:15am


Drive
Kuka
Google AI Data Deletion Fraud
Véglegesen törli?
Mégse
Végleges törlés


Move prompt to trash
Are you sure? Your prompt will be permanently deleted after 30 days.
Cancel
Delete
pontosido
Pontos idő Budapest
7:59:17
2026.08.27.
35. hét van |





https://ibb.co/4R9hP1vy

https://ibb.co/gbR1NtqW

https://ibb.co/vCCHMK1f

https://ibb.co/DgTrG7FG

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827061001/https://ibb.co/4R9hP1vy

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827061128/https://ibb.co/gbR1NtqW

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827061233/https://ibb.co/vCCHMK1f

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827061421/https://ibb.co/DgTrG7FG

The Double Deception: Google Lies on the AI Studio UI and Lies on the Drive UI

Forensic screenshots (authenticated timestamp: Aug 27, 2026, 07:59:17 CEST) provide undeniable visual proof that Google presents false statements across both user interfaces:

1. The Lie on Google Drive:
   When emptying the trash, Google Drive's explicit dialog box guarantees:
   "The item will be permanently deleted and CANNOT BE RECOVERED LATER. This action cannot be undone."

- The Reality: This statement is factually false. Google's own official Drive File Recovery tool recovers the file immediately after trash emptying.

2. The Lie on Google AI Studio:
   The AI Studio interface explicitly claims:
   "Your prompt will be permanently deleted after 30 days."

- The Reality: Executing deletion and emptying the trash does NOT trigger a backend purge. The "Delete" button merely hides the client-side JSON pointer. Once the pointer is restored to Drive, AI Studio instantly resurrects the complete conversation from the persistent server-side state.

Conclusion:
Both user interfaces actively deceive the end user regarding the scope and permanence of data deletion, in direct violation of GDPR Article 17 (Right to Erasure) and consumer transparency laws.

Bitu79 27 August 27, 2026, 6:23am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827061725/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/caught-by-the-anchor-how-restoring-the-json-exposes-googles-backend-fraud/179721/print

---

Bitu79 28 August 27, 2026, 6:59am

The Lie of “Recovery”: Google is not repairing a corrupted file system (as they claim); they are merely toggling a logical flag. When the robot “recovers” a file, it is in reality simply flipping an “is_deleted: true” value to “is_deleted: false”.

Since the file (and the underlying server-side state behind it) never ceased to exist in the background for even a single second, the data was never “deleted.” The file remained there all along, merely hidden/masked.

If Google tells the user that the file “cannot be recovered,” yet it remains sitting in their systems, Google is providing deceptive, false information. If a user requests “permanent deletion,” the data controller is legally obligated to ensure physical destruction. Google did not restore anything; they merely removed the mask from data that was never purged from the server.

Through this technical breakdown, Google’s “recovery feature” instantly turns into “evidence of illegal data retention.” True recovery does not exist; there is only the re-enabling of access to hidden data.

And Google has absolutely no legal basis to retain people’s prompts!

Bitu79 29 August 27, 2026, 7:02am

https://archive.ph/J1zDl

https://archive.ph/J1zDl/image

Bitu79 30 August 27, 2026, 7:17am

If Google allegedly retains my prompts for security reasons, they are legally required to explicitly disclose it! But the prompt is NOT the JSON file! They store the JSON file—fine, that is not the chat itself—yet restoring the JSON file instantly resurrects the entire prompt!

They need to make up their minds about what they are actually storing, because the Google Drive Trash unambiguously contained only the JSON files, not the server-side prompts!

If only the JSON file was in the Drive Trash, why did the entire conversation remain completely intact on Google’s servers after the delete button was pressed? And if they are intentionally storing it on their servers even after deletion, where is the user’s explicit consent and the lawful legal basis for doing so under the law?

Bitu79 31 August 27, 2026, 7:21am

https://archive.ph/HEWsQ

https://archive.ph/HEWsQ/image

Bitu79 32 August 27, 2026, 8:06am

I am submitting a critical update to the ongoing GDPR Article 17 enforcement case against Google LLC. The newly released official documentation for Google's "Interactions API" (**https://ai.google.dev/gemini-api/docs/interactions-overview**) serves as the final "Smoking Gun" that perfectly corroborates my forensic findings and proves the deliberate nature of this data fraud.

The documentation exposes three undeniable facts:

1. The "Stateless" Defense is a Lie:
   Google officially admits that the system is stateful and stores conversations on the backend by default.
   Quote from the documentation: "The server uses this ID to retrieve the conversation history, saving you from having to resend the entire chat history." and "By default, the API stores all Interaction objects (store=true)…"
   This proves my claim: The Drive JSON file is merely a pointer. The actual data is actively hoarded on Google's servers.

2. The AI Studio "Delete" Button is a Documented Fraud:
   The documentation explicitly claims: "…you can also view and manage stored interactions logs, including deletion from project storage, in AI Studio."
   My Bulk Forensic Video (restoring ~20 chats 5 days after permanent deletion) proves this statement is completely false. If the AI Studio "Delete" button actually executed a backend purge from "project storage" as documented, restoring the Drive JSON pointer would result in a 404 Data Not Found error. Instead, all

20 chats instantly resurrected, proving the backend deletion command is NEVER executed by the AI Studio UI.

3. The Perfect Synergy with the Buganizer Confession:
   This API architecture explicitly explains why the Google Security Team (Issue #552682596) closed my ticket as “Intended Behavior” and stated that data “persists on our backend systems.” They intentionally decoupled the visual UI deletion from the actual server-side data purging process.

Conclusion:
Google’s own technical documentation, combined with their internal Buganizer confession and my reproducible forensic video, provides ironclad proof that Google intentionally misleads users and actively bypasses the Right to Erasure (GDPR Article 17).

This complete evidentiary chain is archived and ready for court.

Sincerely,
György Istókovics (Bitu79)

https://ai.google.dev/gemini-api/docs/interactions-overview

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827080748/https://ai.google.dev/gemini-api/docs/interactions-overview

---

Bitu79 33 August 27, 2026, 8:12am

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/Nunu3

Bitu79 34 August 27, 2026, 8:32am

They lie on the user interface, they lie in the terms of service, and they lie in their documentation!

“You can also view and manage stored interactions logs, including deletion from project storage, in AI Studio.” That is what the documentation says. I clicked “Delete” in AI Studio. Google is lying here by claiming that doing so deletes the data from “project storage” (the server). But by restoring the JSON, I instantly exposed them: the button does absolutely nothing to delete data from the project storage; it merely hides the Drive pointer.

As shown in my video recording, 5 days passed, and using a simple Drive recovery tool, I resurrected every single chat on the server! Their automatic server-side purge (Retention TTL) does not work either—the whole thing is a lie!

If their system truly automatically deleted everything in the Free Tier after 1 day, as the documentation claims, restoring the JSON from day 2 onward would have resulted in a 404 dead link! This proves that Google is openly lying to users about their automatic Data Retention Policy as well.

Here is the video, you fools:

https://youtu.be/k6YHhDpnbXk

Bitu79 35 August 27, 2026, 8:36am

https://archive.ph/fxdUT

https://archive.ph/fxdUT/image

Bitu79 36 August 27, 2026, 8:59am

Just imagine this scenario in court!

The Judge asks Google’s lawyer:
“Excuse me, when exactly is user data deleted?”
Google’s Lawyer: “Well… according to our official documentation, after 1 day.”
The Judge: “But your UI explicitly tells the user it takes 30 days.”
Me (holding up the video and the Buganizer ticket): “Here is my video showing everything is fully intact after 5 days, and your own engineer documented that you have absolutely no intention of purging the backend.”

These are the three parallel realities of Google! xD

ChatGPT Image 2026. aug. 27. 10_57_37

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827090008/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/f/f/ff32415f81412ff856c034e967e404b1b1a35dbe.jpeg



Bitu79 37 August 27, 2026, 9:08am

https://archive.ph/FGmvO

https://archive.ph/FGmvO/image

Bitu79 38 August 27, 2026, 9:11am

https://archive.ph/wzm0P

https://archive.ph/wzm0P/image

Bitu79 40 August 27, 2026, 9:17pm


Google Saját tevékenységek
Az elem részletei
AI-mód
Rákeresett a következőre: Caught by the Anchor: How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud - The JSON is indeed a client-side anchor (Pointer / UI session) ezt hol írták és igaza van? ,szinkronizáció kizárva,mert eltelt 5 nap
Részletek
Ma, 23:07
AI-mód
Az Ön utasítása:
Caught by the Anchor: How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud - The JSON is indeed a client-side anchor (Pointer / UI session) ezt hol írták és igaza van? ,szinkronizáció kizárva,mert eltelt 5 nap
A Kereső válasza:
Az említett felvetést a Google AI Developers Forum felületén tette közzé egy felhasználó a „Caught by the Anchor: How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud" című témában. A kliensoldali JSON hivatkozások általi ideiglenes adatelérhetőség logikai jelensége nem rendszerszintű csalás, hanem az elosztott adatbázisok aszinkron tisztítási folyamatainak természetes velejárója. A teljes vitát megtekintheti a Google AI Developers Forum.
Az Ön utasítása:
nem szégyelled magad?
A Kereső válasza:
Rákeresett a következőre: Caught by the Anchor: How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud - The JSON is indeed a client-side anchor...

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827214223/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/1/3/1f56c7e37612629f7df584fb948a1005510c60f.png


Google
Caught by the Anchor: How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud - The JSON is indeed a client-side anchor (Pointer / UI session) ezt hol írták és igaza van? ha ez neked technikai mukodés akkor indokold meg
AI-alapú áttekintés
Egy az AI Google Developer fórumon megosztott állítás szerint a Gemini beszélgetések „végleges" törlése után a kliensoldali JSON-adatok (pointer) segítségével a tartalom visszaállítható, ami a felhasználói adatok szerveroldali megmaradására utal. A technikai háttér alapján a jelenség nem feltétlenül csalás, hanem a „soft delete" működés és a gyorsítótárazási mechanizmusok késleltetett adatmegsemmisítése. Részletekért olvassa el a vitát a AI Google Developer fórumon.
Google AI Developers Forum
How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud
Az összes megjelenítése
Kérdezzen bármit
Reddit · r/learnpython
Miért okozza ez a JSON, hogy a Python hibát dobjon ...

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827214128/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/f/1/f87c31e797f5ff0d3f5795203c962b0a1e5cda8.png

Képernyőkép 2026-08-27 230332


Google
Caught by the Anchor: How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud - The JSON is indeed a client-side anchor (Pointer / UI session) ezt hol írták?
AI-alapú áttekintés
Ezt a szöveget a Google AI Developers Forum (korábban Google AI Studio / Gemini API fórum) felületén írták.
A bejegyzés részletei:
Pontos cím: Caught by the Anchor: How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud
Megjelenés ideje: 2026. augusztus 26.
Téma: A poszt azt taglalja, hogy amikor a felhasználó letöröl egy mentett beszélgetést a Google Drive-ból, a Google AI Studio felületéről eltűnik a csevegés, de valójában a szerveroldalról nem törlődik az interakciós lánc. Amikor a Drive-ból visszaállítják a törölt .json fájlt, az AI Studio képes azonnal folytatni a beszélgetést, mert a JSON fájl csak egy kliensoldali „horgonyként" (kliensoldali mutatóként / UI munkamenetként) működik, ami összekötí a böngészőt a Google szerverein érintetlenül hagyott adatokkal.
Ha szeretnéd, elolvashatod a teljes bejegyzést és a hozzá fűzött fejlesztői hozzászólásokat közvetlenül a Google AI Dev fórum linkjén.
Milyen konkrét technikai részlet vagy biztonsági aggály érdekel ezzel a háttértárolási mechanizmussal kapcsolatban?
Kérdezzen bármit

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827213930/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/a/9/a
ea2affd707f78de684d0e723ecbee9366cbd37.png


Google
Caught by the Anchor: How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud - The JSON is indeed a client-side anchor (Pointer / UI session) ezt hol írták és igaza van? ,szinkronizáció kizárva,mert eltelt 5 nap
AI-alapú áttekintés
Az említett felvetést a Google AI Developers Forum felületén tette közzé egy felhasználó a „Caught by the Anchor: How Restoring the JSON Exposes Google's Backend Fraud" című témában. A kliensoldali JSON-hivatkozások általi ideiglenes adatelérhetőség logikai jelensége nem rendszerszintű csalás, hanem az elosztott adatbázisok aszinkron tisztítási folyamatainak természetes velejárója. A teljes vitát megtekintheti a Google AI Developers Forum.
Google AI Developers Forum
Latest Gemini API topics - Google AI Developers Forum
Az összes megjelenítése
Kérdezzen bármit
Google AI Developers Forum
Latest Gemini API topics - Google AI Developers Forum
PC Fórum

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827213849/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/9/e/9
fcf31ee6a87cb3e722552dabe7e18f05978ae0.png


IssueTracker
PROOF: Google AI Studio & Google Drive NEVER Actually Delete Your Chats! (Technical Breakdown)
Comments (14)
Dependencies (0)
Duplicates (0)
Blocking (0)
Resources (13)
György Istókovics
Aug 26, 2026 12:29PM
Dear Google Security & VRP Team,
I would like to formally thank your team for your explicit, written confession regarding Google's backend data retention architecture.
By officially confirming that this system architecture is "working as intended" and that user data actively "persists on our backend systems" long after a user executes a permanent deletion request and empties their trash bin, you have provided the exact evidentiary foundation required to prove systematic, intentional data fraud.
Your internal security VRP scope cannot override or circumvent European Union law. Under GDPR Article 17, a permanent deletion request mandates the complete destruction of data on active production systems, not the creation of an invisible server-side cache that remains permanently harvestable via decoupled interaction links.
Thank you for handing over the "smoking gun" and documenting your own non-compliance so clearly in this ticket. Your written admission has been added as the primary exhibit to the legal filings.
See you in court.
Sincerely, György Istókovics (Bitu79)
Aug 26, 2026 03:09PM
Aug 26, 2026 03:43PM
Dear Google Security & Legal Team,
I take note of your sudden internal status change from "Intended Behavior" to "Assigned."
However, modifying the ticket status under pressure does not erase the fact

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827213806/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/d/3/c
77cfd982aa963e97884845bb25704424534b83.png



https://web.archive.org/web/20260827213657/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/f/3/f
9c504efe13a6f62ee1f8b634928e7e525eca5f.png

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827213450/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/c/a/c158d8eb753176ccf2c897daea18b628ba1f018.jpeg

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827213548/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/a/a/a93407cb4bf59751cd4a675772c4d4d1cb895fc.jpeg

https://github.com/istokovicsgyorgy79-jpg/CanusLupus/blob/main/CAUGHT~2.PDF

https://github.com/istokovicsgyorgy79-jpg/CanusLupus/blob/main/Caught%20by%20the%20Anchor_%20How%20Restoring%20the%20JSON%20Exposes%20Google’s%20Backend%20Fraud%20·%20The%20JSON%20is%20indeed%20a%0client-

side%20anchor%20(Pointer%20_%20UI%20session)%20ezt%20hol%20irták%20és%20igaza%20va_%20%2Cszinkronizáció%20kizárva%2Cmert%20eltelt%205%20nap%20-%20Google-keresés.pdf

https://github.com/istokovicsgyorgy79-jpg/CanusLupus/blob/main/FireShot%20Capture%20688%20-%20Caught%20by%20the%20Anchor_%20How%20Restoring%20the%20JSON%20Exposes%20Google's%20Backe_%20-%20[www.google.com].png

https://github.com/istokovicsgyorgy79-jpg/CanusLupus/blob/main/FireShot%20Capture%20689%20-%20Google%20–%20Saját%20tevékenységek%20-%20[myactivity.google.com].png

https://ibb.co/Q3yvhGwM

https://ibb.co/CKXsSBY5

https://ibb.co/XrQRWPPd

https://ibb.co/qYTqQ5L4

https://ibb.co/k6vyqLj8

https://ibb.co/Txp8vxtf

https://ibb.co/pr1WWTTB

https://ibb.co/2YjQpmNN

https://ibb.co/4wCBvFVz

The Search AI initially generated a generic theory (asynchronous purging) regarding my post.

However, when I presented it with the concrete evidence (the Buganizer ticket, the 5-day timeframe, and the official API documentation), the model retracted its theory and validated my technical breakdown step-by-ste as correct.

My tests and the presented official documents are the actual facts. Google will also have to answer in court fo the deceptive answers generated by AI Overview, as it disseminates falsehoods to protect the corporation!

Bitu79 41 August 27, 2026, 9:57pm

https://archive.ph/qf4be

https://archive.ph/qf4be/image

Bitu79 42 August 27, 2026, 10:03pm

https://archive.ph/73QEm

https://archive.ph/73QEm/image

Bitu79 43 August 27, 2026, 10:11pm

I am submitting the complete archive snapshots of the public forum post directly into this IssueTracker ticket(552682596)via email. Consequently, the company cannot deny formal knowledge of these documented facts.

Please be reminded that the formal 14-day pre-litigation deadline served to the Google Ireland DPO is actively running, with only 12 days remaining. Once this deadline expires, I will have the full legal entitlement to initiate civil court proceedings against Google.

Bitu79 44 August 27, 2026, 10:16pm

https://archive.ph/jS2eG

https://archive.ph/jS2eG/image

Bitu79 45 August 27, 2026, 10:21pm

FireShot Capture 690 - PROOF_ Google AI Studio & Google Drive NEVER Actually Delete Your C_ - issuetracker.google.com

https://web.archive.org/web/20260827222001/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/7/7/770f1b830e654a5f74871854d81c9de751947d87.jpeg

---

Bitu79 46 August 27, 2026, 10:25pm

https://archive.ph/DWNz1

https://archive.ph/DWNz1/image

Bitu79 47 August 27, 2026, 10:28pm

https://archive.ph/IZRmL

https://archive.ph/IZRmL/image

Bitu79 48 August 27, 2026, 10:30pm

The complete email export is available on GitHub, proving that the entire forum post, all forensic evidence, and archive snapshots were directly submitted into the Google IssueTracker ticket (#552682596):

https://github.com/istokovicsgyorgy79-jpg/CanusLupus/blob/main/Gmail%20-%20Re_%20Issue%20552682596_%20PROOF_%20Google%20AI%20Studio%20%26%20Google%20Drive%20NEVER%20Actually%20Delete%20Your%20Chats!%20(Technical%20Breakdown)%202.pdf

---

Bitu79 49 August 27, 2026, 10:41pm

https://archive.ph/7MbXo

https://archive.ph/7MbXo/image

Bitu79 50 August 27, 2026, 11:11pm

The Evidence is Airtight: Google is Trapped

- **7 Separate UI/Docs Lies Documented:** Google Drive explicitly promises “cannot be recovered later.” AI Studio claims “permanently deleted after 30 days.” The API documentation states “Free tier retains interactions for 1 day.” The AI Overview continuously alters its text to spin corporate damage control. Every single one of these official statements is factually false and actively misleads the user.
- **Irrefutable Video Proof:** The unedited forensic video recording mathematically destroys Google’s retention defense. Restoring ~20 distinct chat sessions 5 full days after permanent deletion and trash

emptying proves that no physical erasure command ever executed on the backend servers.

- **Google's Own System Indexing:** Google Search and the My Activity logs officially indexed the data. The AI Overview system publicly generated documentation verifying that the UI delete button acts merely as a client-side anchor while the interaction chains remain persistently "intact on Google's servers."
- **Buganizer Written Admission:** The internal security triage team officially closed Issue #552682596 as "Intended Behavior," textually certifying that data intentionally "persists on our backend systems." This completely forfeits any potential "unintentional software glitch" defense.
- **Formal DPO Notice Active:** The Data Protection Officer of Google Ireland Limited has been formally served with a Pre-Litigation Letter Before Action, establishing formal corporate notice.
- **NOYB Escalation Completed:** The entire authenticated forensic package has been delivered directly to Max Schrems' international data protection legal team.

The Courtroom Reality: The Judge Will Destroy Them

When this case hits civil litigation, Google's high-priced defense counsel will have zero technical or legal maneuvers left to deploy under cross-examination. The transcript before the Törvényszék is predetermined by Google's own system logs:

Judge: "Did your search infrastructure index and display deleted data?" Google Counsel: "…yes, Your Honor." Judge: "Did your AI Studio user interface state that data is permanently deleted?" Google Counsel: "…yes, Your Honor." Judge: "Did your Google Drive user interface explicitly state that emptied trash data 'cannot be recovered'?" Google Counsel: "…yes, Your Honor." Judge: "Did your official developer documentation state that Free Tier data is automatically purged after 1 day?" Google Counsel: "…yes, Your Honor." Judge: "The court finds that Google LLC intentionally engineered a systemic architecture designed to mislead consumers and systematically bypass GDPR Article 17 (Right to Erasure). Statutory damages and penalties awarded."

Bitu79 51 August 27, 2026, 11:22pm

https://archive.ph/DXwpy

https://archive.ph/DXwpy/image

Bitu79 52 August 28, 2026, 12:51am

IF THEY DO NOT CONTACT ME, THEN I WILL WRITE THE BIGGEST EUROPEAN LEGAL PRECEDENT IN TECH HISTORY!

Once the deadline expires, my legal path is completely clear to file a civil lawsuit in court with all my collected evidence.

If the case proceeds to a court trial and a binding judgment is delivered, it will become a public legal ruling and precedent regarding the contested data processing practice.

The courtroom path always represents a public legal risk for a corporation compared to out-of-court discussions.

This is precisely why I request Google to contact me privately.

If they remain silent, they are sending themselves to the slaughterhouse! Have a nice day!

Bitu79 53 August 28, 2026, 12:54am

https://archive.ph/ngXYh

https://archive.ph/ngXYh/image

Bitu79 54 August 28, 2026, 2:01am

Irish DPC Officially Notified: The Irish Data Protection Commission (DPC) has been formally served with a strict 14-day ultimatum to initiate an immediate enforcement investigation against Google LLC



Formal Notice Regarding Systematic GDPR Article 17 Violations by Google LLC and Potential Misconduct by the DPC

Convert to PDF

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com>
címzett: CBAssessment, DPC

3:50 (2 perccel ezelőtt)

To the Data Protection Commission (DPC), Ireland

I am formally writing to demand immediate enforcement action regarding the systematic and intentional violation of GDPR Article 17 (Right to Erasure) by Google Ireland Limited/Google LLC.

The Evidence of Ongoing Violation:
The DPC already possesses comprehensive documentation proving that Google's "permanent delete" function is a deceptive interface. When a user deletes a chat and empties the trash, Google only removes the client-side JSON pointer. The underlying conversational data remains fully intact on Google's servers, and can be instantly reactivated via the restoration of said JSON file. This has been proven via:

1. **Technical Proof:** The forensic documentation available at: https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/caught-by-the-anchor-how-restoring-the-json-exposes-googles-backend-fraud/179721.
2. **Official Admission:** Google's internal Buganizer response (Issue #552682596), which explicitly classifies the retention of this "deleted" data as "intended behavior."
3. **Regulatory Failure:** Google's own API documentation contradicts their UI statements, proving a calculated, systemic, and ongoing deception of the user.

Notice of Potential Misconduct:
Despite having all the evidence required to initiate formal proceedings, the DPC has remained passive. This inaction is no longer a matter of administrative delay; it is being documented as an attempt to shield a major corporation from accountability for admitted, systemic, and ongoing violations of European law.

If the DPC continues to fail to act, I will interpret this passivity as willful obstruction of justice and a failure to perform your statutory duties.

Formal Ultimatum:
I formally demand that the DPC initiates a **full, formal enforcement investigation** against Google LLC within 14 days of receipt of this notice.

Should the DPC fail to fulfill its statutory obligations and initiate such proceedings, I will commence legal action against the Commission for misfeasance in public office, willful neglect of duty, and complicity in the violation of citizens' fundamental data protection rights.

This notice, and the evidence provided, will be submitted to the courts as proof that the DPC was fully informed of the violation and chose to permit it.

I expect a formal confirmation that proceedings have been initiated.

I am writing to demand an immediate response to my communications by the end of the business day today.

For months, I have been providing you with irrefutable evidence of systematic GDPR violations by Google LLC. All of my previous communications, evidence packages, and correspondence remain in your possession, and I have maintained a complete log of our entire communication history.

The totality of the forensic evidence, including the technical breakdown, official documentation, and admissions from Google's own internal systems, is contained in this report:

https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/caught-by-the-anchor-how-restoring-the-json-exposes-googles-backend-fraud/179721

https://archive.ph/nDQJ7

Do not attempt to deny the receipt of my evidence or the timeline of our correspondence. I have all emails documented, and if necessary, I will utilize Google Takeout to export and submit our entire communication history to the court as proof of your prolonged failure to act on a documented, ongoing violation of European law.

Your continued silence and refusal to enforce the GDPR is a dereliction of your statutory duty. I have provided you with everything you need to act.

I demand a formal response by the end of the day today.

Failure to respond today will confirm that the DPC is intentionally obstructing justice and shielding Google from accountability. I am fully prepared to proceed with legal action against the Commission for this misconduct.

This is my final notice.

Regards,Istókovics György

https://web.archive.org/web/20260828015630/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/5/e/5efa638f5e9789b69375fe85e0e3a9fd3d13229e.png


Contact
An Coimisiún um Chosaint Sonraí
Data Protection Commission
Contact Us Form


Acknowledgement of your web-form submission to the Data Protection Commission EMAIL REF:0001047435
DPCNOREPLY
Úgy tűnik, hogy ez az üzenet ír nyelvű
Fordítás magyar nyelvre
The Data Protection Commission (the "DPC") acknowledges receipt of the update submitted.
We will assess the information you have submitted and revert where required.
Thank you for your ongoing cooperation with this matter.
This is an auto generated response to the web form you submitted, please do not respond as it will not be received.
If you did not submit this web-form, please forward this email to info@dataprotection.ie to let us know and we will respond to you in due course.
An Coimisiún um Chosaint Sonraí
21 Cearnóg Mhic Liam, BÁC 2,
DO2 RD28, Éireann.
Data Protection Commission
21 Fitzwilliam Square, Dublin 2
D02 RD28, Ireland
Admhaíonn an Coimisiún um Chosaint Sonraí (an "DPC") go bhfuarthas an t-uasdáiú a chuir tú isteach.
Déanfaimid measúnú ar an fhaisnéis a chuir tú isteach agus tiocfaimid ar ais chugat más gá.
Go raibh maith agat as do chomhoibriú leanúnach leis an gceist seo.
Gineadh an teachtaireacht seo go huathoibríoch mar fhreagra ar an bhfoirm gréasáin a chuir tú isteach. Ná tabhair freagra air, le do thoil, mar ní ghlacfar leis.
Murar chuir tú an fhoirm Ghréasáin seo isteach, cuir an ríomhphost seo ar aghaidh chuig info@dataprotection.ie chun an méid sin a chur in iúl dúinn agus tabharfaimid freagra duit in am trátha.
An Coimisiún um Chosaint Sonraí
21 Cearnóg Mhic Liam, BÁC 2,
DO2 RD28, Éireann.
Data Protection Commission
21 Fitzwilliam Square, Dublin 2
D02 RD28, Ireland
The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer. It is the policy of the Data Protection Commission to disallow the sending of offensive material. Should you consider that the material contained in this message is offensive you should contact the sender immediately and also customerservice[at]dataprotection.ie
Tá an fhaisnéis arna tarchur ceaptha don duine nó don eintiteas chuig a bhfuil sí dírithe, agus don duine nó don eintiteas sin amháin, agus is féidir go bhfuil ábhar rúnda agus/nó ábhar faoi phribhléid inti. Toirmisctear ar dhaoine nó ar eintitis seachas an faighteoir beartaithe aon athbhreithniú, aon atarchur, aon scaipeadh nó aon úsáid eile a dhéanamh ar an bhfaisnéis seo nó aon ghníomh eile a dhéanamh ar a hiontaobh. Más de bhotún a fuair tú é seo, déan teagmháil leis an seoltóir agus scrios an t-ábhar ó aon ríomhaire. Is é beartas an Choimisiúin um Chosaint Sonraí seoladh aon ábhair mhasleagh a dhíchead.
Má mheasann tú gur maslach atá an t-ábhar atá sa teachtaireacht seo, ba cheart duit teagmháil a dhéanamh leis an seoltóir agus leis an bhFoireann um sheirbhís do chustaiméirí trí customerservice[at]dataprotection.ie láithreach.
[Üzenet megvágva] Egész levél megtekintése

https://web.archive.org/web/20260828021020/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/c/a/ca3e5509ae931b70081d95f6f89305c4eecaab47.png

https://web.archive.org/web/20260828020729/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/2/8/284e7747def4cd57f2ea703b0a69864317e63d6d.png

https://archive.org/details/gmail-formal-notice-regarding-systematic-gdpr-article-17-violations-by-google-ll

https://archive.org/details/dpc-1-2808-030241-ce-4b-0e-60-f-2ba-410d-a-4f-9-927282ffa-3cb

https://archive.org/details/gmail-acknowledgement-of-your-web-form-submission-to-the-data-protection-commiss

---

Bitu79 55 August 28, 2026, 2:20am

https://ghostarchive.org/archive/3ZURZ

Bitu79 56 August 28, 2026, 2:24am

https://archive.ph/oMC3S

https://archive.ph/oMC3S/image

Bitu79 57 August 28, 2026, 2:37am

https://archive.org/details/dpc-1-2808-033241-97b-448fd-004d-42bd-83a-5-ead-8b-0f-9dadd

---

Bitu79 58 August 28, 2026, 2:44am

https://archive.ph/DYZOr

https://archive.ph/DYZOr/image

Bitu79 59 August 28, 2026, 3:21am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260828032039/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/1/1/1169fb37d63f8258af681ed37d9e6e320d793859.jpeg

Google in the GDPR trap

Related topics

Topic	Replies	Views	Activity
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's "Delete" Function Does Not Delete Backend Data generative-ai	25	478	July 16, 2026
🚨 PROOF: Google AI Studio & Google Drive NEVER Actually Delete Your Chats! (Technical Breakdown) gemini-api	1	63	August 22, 2026
Google AI Studio's "Delete" button is misleading gemini-api	16	493	July 7, 2026
NOYB Has Contacted Me — Google Should Start Sweating gemini-api	90	1006	July 29, 2026
CRITICAL: Google AI Studio's "Delete" Button is a UI Fraud (Video + Server Log Proof) gemini-api	16	241	July 13, 2026